Geometry and statistical patterns in zeros and critical points of random analytic functions

Steve Zelditch

Department of Mathematics

Johns Hopkins University

Sinagpore, 2008.

Tuesday, 25 minutes during 1400 - 15: 45,

July 15, 2008:

Based on joint work (partly in progress) with O. Zeitouni and B.Shiffman

#### Themes of talk

- Use geometry (volume forms, hermitian metrics) to define inner products on spaces of polynomials or 'sections of a line bundle' over a Kähler manifold  $(M, \omega)$  of dimension m.
- Each inner product induces a Gaussian measure on such a space.
- Study statistics of zeros and critical points of random polynomials (or holomorphic sections) as the degree  $N \to \infty$ . How do they depend on the underlying geometry? Which metrics have 'minimal complexity', i.e. cause the minimal number of critical points on average.

## **Principal results**

The main results concern large degree asymptotics:

- 1. Zeros and critical points concentrate asymptotically as the degree  $N \to \infty$  in regions of high curvature;
- 2. The metrics which minimize the expected number of critical points of a Gaussian random 'section' of large degree N are those which minimize the Calabi functional (known as canonical metrics in complex geometry; e.g. Calabi-Yau metrics in the case of the 'canonical bundle').

### Complex Kac-Hammersley polynomials

Consider the random holomorphic polynomial of one complex variable,

$$f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j z^j$$

where the coefficients  $c_j$  are independent complex Gaussian random variables of mean zero and variance one. Complex Gaussian:

$$\mathbf{E}(c_j) = \mathbf{0} = E(c_j c_k), \quad E(c_j \bar{c}_k) = \delta_{jk}.$$

This defines a Gaussian measure  $\gamma_{KAC}$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{N}^{(1)}$ :

$$d\gamma_{KAC}(f) = e^{-|c|^2/2}dc.$$

#### **Expected distribution of zeros**

The distribution of zeros of a polynomial of degree N is the probability measure on  $\mathbb C$  defined by

$$Z_f = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z:f(z)=0} \delta_z,$$

where  $\delta_z$  is the Dirac delta-function at z.

Definition: The expected distribution of zeros of random polynomials of degree N with measure P is the probability measure  $\mathbf{E}_P Z_f$  on  $\mathbb C$  defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{E}_P Z_f, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{P}_N^{(1)}} \{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{z:f(z)=0} \varphi(z) \} dP(f),$$

for  $\varphi \in C_c(\mathbb{C})$ .

## How are zeros of complex Kac polynomials distributed?

Complex zeros concentrate in small annuli around the unit circle  $S^1$ . In the limit as the degree  $N\to\infty$ , the zeros asymptotically concentrate exactly on  $S^1$ :

#### Theorem 1 (Kac-Hammersley-Shepp-Vanderbei)

The expected distribution of zeros of polynomials of degree N in the Kac ensemble has the asymptotics:

$$\mathbf{E}^N_{KAC}(Z^N_f) o \delta_{S^1}$$
 as  $N o \infty$ ,

where 
$$(\delta_{S^1}, \varphi) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{S^1} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta$$
.

The real zeros concentrate at the intersection points of  $S^1 \cap \mathbb{R} = \{\pm 1\}$ .

## Gaussian measure and inner product

It was the (implicit) choice of inner product that produced this concentration of zeros on  $S^1$ .

The inner product underlying the Kac Gaussian measure on  $\mathcal{P}_N^{(1)}$  is defined by the basis  $\{z^j\}$  being orthonormal. Thus, they were orthonormalized on  $S^1$ . An inner product induces an orthonormal basis  $\{S_j\}$  and associated associated Gaussian measure  $\mathrm{d}\gamma$ :

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{d} c_j S_j,$$

where  $\{c_j\}$  are independent complex normal random variables.

Orthonormalizing on  $S^1$  made zeros concentrate on  $S^1$ .

# Gaussian random polynomials adapted to domains and weights

We now orthonormalize polynomials on the interior  $\Omega$  or boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of any simply connected, bounded domain  $\Omega\subset\mathbb{C}$ . Introduce a weight  $e^{-N\varphi}$  and a probability measure  $d\nu$  on  $\Omega$  and define

$$\langle f, \overline{g} \rangle_{\Omega, \varphi} := \int_{\Omega} f(z) \overline{g(z)} e^{-N\varphi(z)} d\nu$$
.

Let  $\gamma^N_{\Omega,\varphi}$  = the Gaussian measure induced by  $\langle f,\bar{g}\rangle_{\Omega,\varphi}$  on  $\mathcal{P}^{(1)}_N$ .

How do zeros of random polynomials adapted to  $\Omega$  concentrate?

#### Equilibrium distribution of zeros

Denote the expectation relative to the ensemble  $(\mathcal{P}_N, \gamma_{\partial\Omega}^N)$  by  $\mathbf{E}_{\partial\Omega}^N$ .

#### Theorem 2

$$\mathbf{E}_{\partial\Omega}^{N}(Z_f^N) = \nu_{\Omega} + O(1/N) ,$$

where  $\nu_{\Omega}$  is the equilibrium measure of  $\bar{\Omega}$  with respect to  $\varphi$ .

The equilibrium measure of a compact set K is the unique probability measure  $d\nu_K$  which minimizes the energy

$$E(\mu) = -\int_K \int_K \log|z - w| \, d\mu(z) \, d\mu(w) + \int_K \varphi d\mu.$$

Thus, zeros behave like electric charges in the potential  $\varphi$ .

# Warm-Up for line bundles: SU(2) polynomials

There exists an inner product in which the expected distribution of zeros is 'uniform' on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  w.r.t. to the usual Fubini-Study area form  $\omega_{FS}$ .

We define an inner product on  $\mathcal{P}_N^{(1)}$  which depends on N:

$$\langle z^j, z^k \rangle_N = \frac{1}{\binom{N}{j}} \delta_{jk}.$$

Thus, a random SU(2) polynomial has the form

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \lambda_{\alpha} \sqrt{\binom{N}{\alpha}} z^{\alpha},$$

$$E(\lambda_{\alpha}) = 0, \quad E(\lambda_{\alpha}\overline{\lambda}_{\beta}) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Proposition 3 In the SU(2) ensemble,  $\mathbf{E}(Z_f) = \omega_{FS}$ , the Fubini-Study area form on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ .

## SU(2) and holomorphic line bundles

The SU(2) inner products may be written in the form

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z)\overline{g(z)}e^{-N\log(1+|z|^2)}\frac{dz\wedge d\overline{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}.$$

The factor  $e^{-N\log(1+|z|^2)}$  defines a Hermitian metric on  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ , and its curvature form is  $\omega = \frac{dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{(1+|z|^2)^2}$ .

This gives a geometric interpretation of the inner product

$$\langle f, \overline{g} \rangle_{\Omega, \varphi} := \int_{\Omega} f(z) \overline{g(z)} e^{-N\varphi(z)} d\nu$$
.

We should regard f,g as sections of the Nth power of a line bundle with Hermitian metric  $e^{-N\varphi}$ .

## Gaussian random holomorphic sections of line bundles

We now consider more general Hermitian metrics  $h=e^{-\varphi}$  on  $\mathcal{O}(1)\to\mathbb{CP}^1$  and area forms on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . In fact, everything we do generalizes to any Riemann surface M of any genus.

The Hermitian metric h on  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  induces Hermitian metrics  $h^N = e^{-N\varphi}$  on the powers  $\mathcal{O}(N)$ , a volume form dV, and an inner product

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_N = \int_M s_1(z) \overline{s_2(z)} e^{-N\varphi} dV(z).$$

We let  $\{S_j\}$  denote an orthonormal basis of the space  $H^0(M,L^N)$  of holomorphic sections of  $L^N$ .

Then define the Gaussian measure  $\gamma_{h^N}$  on  $s\in H^0(M,L^N)$  by

$$s = \sum_{j} c_{j} S_{j}, \quad \langle S_{j}, S_{k} \rangle = \delta_{jk}$$

with 
$$\mathbf{E}(c_j) = 0 = \mathbf{E}(c_j c_k), \ \mathbf{E}(c_j \overline{c_k}) = \delta_{jk}.$$

#### Statistics of critical points

From now on we focus on critical points

$$\nabla s(z) = 0,$$

where  $\nabla$  is a metric connection.

Critical points of Gaussian random functions come up in may areas of physics—

- as peak points of signals (S.O. Rice, 1945);
- as vacua in compactifications of string/M theory on Calabi-Yau manifolds with flux (Giddings-Kachru-Polchinski, Gukov-Vafa-Witten);
- as extremal black holes (Strominger, Ferrara-Gibbons-Kallosh), peak points of galaxy distributions (Szalay et al, Zeldovich), etc.

## Critical points with respect to a metric connection

Definition: Let  $(L,h) \to M$  be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold M, and let  $\nabla = \nabla_h$  be its Chern connection.

A critical point of a holomorphic section  $s \in H^0(M,L)$  is defined to be a point  $z \in M$  where  $\nabla s(z) = 0$ .

In a local frame e critical point equation for s=fe reads:

$$\partial f(w) + f(w)\partial\varphi(w) = 0,$$

where  $||e(z)||_h = e^{-\varphi}$ .

The critical point equation is only  $C^{\infty}$  and not holomorphic since  $\varphi$  is not holomorphic.

#### Statistics of critical points

The distribution of critical points of  $s \in H^0(M, L)$  with respect to h (or  $\nabla_h$ ) is the measure on M

(1) 
$$C_s^h := \sum_{z: \nabla_h s(z) = 0} \delta_z.$$

Definition: The (expected) distribution  $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma}C_s^h$  of critical points of  $s \in H^0(M,L)$  w.r.t.  $\nabla_h$  and  $\gamma_h$  is the measure on M defined by

$$\langle \mathbf{E}_{\gamma} C_s^h, \varphi \rangle := \int_{H^0(M,L)} \left[ \sum_{z: \nabla_h s(z) = 0} \varphi(z) \right] d\gamma(s).$$

The expected number of critical points is defined by

$$\mathcal{N}^{crit}(h,\gamma) = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \#Crit(s,h)d\gamma(s).$$

#### **Problems of interest**

- 1. Calculate  $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma}C_s^h$ . How are critical points distributed? How are they correlated. As the degree  $N\to\infty$ , how is  $C_s^{h^N}$  concentrated around the equilibrium measure?
- 2. How large is  $\mathcal{N}^{\text{crit}}(h,\gamma)$ ? How does the expected number of critical points depend on the metric?
- 3. The 'best' metrics are the ones which minimize this quantity. Which are they?

# How the curvature affects the expected number of critical points

Let us consider the simplest case:

Theorem **4** The expected number of critical points of a random section  $s_N \in H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(N))$  (with respect to the Gaussian measure  $\gamma_{FS}$  on  $H^0(\mathbb{CP}^1, \mathcal{O}(N))$  induced from the Fubini-Study metrics on  $\mathcal{O}(N)$  and  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ ) is

$$\frac{5N^2 - 8N + 4}{3N - 2} = \frac{5}{3}N - \frac{14}{9} + \frac{8}{27}N^{-1} \cdots$$

Of course, relative to the flat connection d/dz the number is N-1. Thus, the positive curvature of the Fubini-Study hermitian metric and connection causes sections to oscillate much more than the flat connection. There are  $\frac{N}{3}$  new local maxima and  $\frac{N}{3}$  new saddles.

# Asymptotic expansion for the expected number of critical points as $N \to \infty$

Theorem **5** (Douglas, Shiffman, Zelditch) Let  $(L,h) \to M$  be a positive hermitian line bundle over any Kähler manifold of any dimension. Let  $\mathcal{N}^{\text{crit}}(h^N)$  denote the expected number of critical points of random  $s \in H^0(M, L^N)$  with respect to the Hermitian Gaussian measure. Then  $\exists \Gamma_m^{\text{crit}} > 0$  s.th.

$$\mathcal{N}(h^N) = \left(\frac{\pi^m}{m!} \Gamma_m^{\text{Crit}} c_1(L)^m\right) N^m$$

$$+ \left(\int_M \rho dV_\omega\right) N^{m-1}$$

$$+ \left[C_m \int_M \rho^2 dV_\Omega + top\right] N^{m-2} + O(N^{m-3}).$$

Here,  $\rho$  is the scalar curvature of  $\omega_h$ , the curvature of h.

 $\Gamma_m^{\text{crit}} c_1(L)^m$  is larger than for a flat connection.

# Is the expected number of critical points a topological invariant?

The first two terms are topological invariants of a positive line bundle, i.e. independent of the metric! (Both are Chern numbers of L). But the non-topological part of the third term

$$C_m \int_M \rho^2 dV_{\Omega} N^{m-2}$$

is a non-topological invariant, as long as  $C_m \neq 0$ . It is a multiple of the Calabi functional. It was proved by Douglas-Shiffman-Zelditch in  $m = \dim M \leq 5$  that  $C_m \neq 0$  and by B. Baugher in all dimensions (2008 PhD thesis).

(These calculations are based on the Tian-Yau-Zelditch (and Catlin) expansion of the Szegö kernel and on Zhiqin Lu's calculation of the coefficients in that expansion. )

## Calabi extremal metrics are asymptotic minimizers

A Calabi extremal metric is a minimizer of the functional  $\int_M \rho^2 dV$  where  $\rho =$  scalar curvature of the Kähler form  $\omega$ .

Theorem **6** Douglas-Shiffman-Zelditch (2006)-Baugher (2008) Calabi extremal metrics (asymptotically minimize) the metric invariant given by  $\mathcal{N}^{\text{crit}}(\mathcal{H}^N)$ , the expected number of critical points of a random  $s \in H^0(M, L^N)$ .

For instance, Fubini-Study metrics are extremal metrics on  $\mathbb{CP}^m$ , so on average holomorphic sections have fewer critical points with respect to FS metrics than any other metric on  $\mathbb{CP}^m$ .

#### Hints at methods

The proofs are based on:

- A general Kac-Rice formula for the expected number of critical points.
- An Itzykson-Zuber type re-working of this formula;
- For large N, an asymptotic analysis of the two point function  $\Pi_{h^N}(z,w) = \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_{h^N}}(s(w)\overline{s(w)})$ , i.e. the Bergman kernel for the Hermitian line bundle  $L^N$ .

## General formula for density critical points

We denote by  $\operatorname{Sym}(m,\mathbb{C})$  the space of complex  $m \times m$  symmetric matrices. In well-chosen local coordinates  $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ , in a local frame e, we have:

Theorem **1** There exist positive-definite Hermitian matrices

$$A(z): \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}^m$$
,

$$\Lambda(z)$$
: Sym $(m,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \to Sym(m,\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ , s.th.

$$\mathcal{K}^{\operatorname{crit}}(z) = \frac{1}{\det A(z) \det \Lambda(z)} \times \int_{\mathbb{C}} \int_{\operatorname{Sym}(m,\mathbb{C})}$$

$$|\det\begin{pmatrix} H' & x \Theta(z) \\ \bar{x} \bar{\Theta}(z) & \bar{H}' \end{pmatrix}| e^{-\langle \Lambda(z)^{-1}(H'\oplus x), H'\oplus x \rangle} dH' dx.$$

## Formulae for A(z) and $\Lambda(z)$

A(z) and  $\Lambda(z)$  depend only on  $\nabla$  and on the Szegö kernel, i.e. orthogonal projection

$$\Pi_{\mathcal{S}}: L^2(M,L) \to \mathcal{S} \subset H^0(M,L),$$

for S and for the inner product. Let  $F_S(z, w)$  be the local expression for  $\Pi_S(z, w)$  in the frame  $e_L$ . Then  $\Lambda = C - B^*A^{-1}B$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \overline{w}_{j'}} F_{\mathcal{S}}(z, w) |_{z=w} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{3}}{\partial z_{j} \partial \overline{w}_{q'} \partial \overline{w}_{j'}} \end{pmatrix} F_{\mathcal{S}} |_{z=w} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} F_{\mathcal{S}} |_{z=w}) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{4}}{\partial z_{q} \partial z_{j} \partial \overline{w}_{q'} \partial \overline{w}_{j'}} F_{\mathcal{S}} |_{z=w} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j} \partial z_{q}} F_{\mathcal{S}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \overline{w}_{q'} \partial \overline{w}_{j'}} F_{\mathcal{S}} \end{pmatrix} |_{z=w} & F_{\mathcal{S}}(z, z) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$1 \leq j \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq q \leq m, 1 \leq j' \leq q' \leq m.$$

In the above, A,B,C are  $m\times m, m\times n, n\times n$  matrices, respectively, where  $n=\frac{1}{2}(m^2+m+2)$ .