1. (25 Points) Find all the pure strategy Nash equilibria for the bi-matrix game given by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
(5,3) & (9,7) & (3,5) \\
(6,5) & (7,8) & (1,7) \\
(3,7) & (5,5) & (4,9)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

2. (25 Points) (Use of a common resource) Three countries use the same fishing grounds. The
\(i\)th country catches \(x_i\) amount of fish. The net payoff for the countries (after costs) is given
as follows:
\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 12 \ln(x_1 + 1) - x_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_2 + x_3)^2 \\
\pi_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 12 \ln(x_2 + 1) - x_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_3)^2 \\
\pi_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= 12 \ln(x_3 + 1) - x_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

a. How many fish should the first country catch to maximize its payoff? Why is it a maximum?
b. If they form a cartel and agree to each catch the same amount, \(x = x_1 = x_2 = x_3\), then
the joint payoff is
\[
U(x) = 3 \left[ 12 \ln(x + 1) - x^2 - \frac{1}{2}(2x)^2 \right].
\]
How many fish \(x\) should each country catch to maximize the joint payoff?

3. (25 Points) Consider a complete information auction with 3 bidders where all the valuations
are known to all the bidders. Let \(v_j\) be the valuation of the \(j\)th-player and assume that
\(v_1 > v_2 > v_3\). The auction is a second-price sealed-bid, with payoffs to bids \((b_1, b_2, b_3)\) of
\[
u_j(b_1, b_2, b_3) = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } b_j < m \\
(v_j - m_{-j}) & \text{if } b_j = m \text{ and we have 1 finalists} \\
\frac{1}{r}(v_j - m_{-j}) & \text{if } b_j = m \text{ and we have } r \text{ finalists with } r \geq 2.
\end{cases}
\]
where \(m = \max\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}\) and \(m_{-j} = \max\{b_i : i \neq j\}\).
Show that the set of bids \((b_1^*, b_2^*, b_3^*) = (v_1, v_2, v_3)\) a Nash equilibrium. Check the payoffs
for each player.
4. (25 Points) Consider a common value auction with three bidders where the probability distribution is given by \( f(v_h, \omega) = \omega^2 \) and \( f(v_l, \omega) = 1 - \omega^2 \). Here \( v_l < v_h \) are the low and high valuations and \( \omega \) is the signal which is uniformly distributed on \([0, 1]\). Assume the bidding rule of

\[
b^*_j(\omega_j) = v_l + \frac{\omega_j^2}{2}(v_h - v_l)
\]

for \( j = 2, 3 \). You can assume that it has been shown that

\[
P\left(b_1 > b^*_2(\cdot) \text{ and } b_1 > b^*_3(\cdot)\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b_1 \leq v_l \\ \frac{2(b_1 - v_l)}{v_h - v_l} & \text{if } v_l \leq b_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(v_h + v_l) \\ 1 & \text{if } b_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}(v_h + v_l), \end{cases}
\]

where this expected value is averaged over the possible signals \( \omega_2 \) and \( \omega_3 \). Also, you can use the fact that the expected value for player one is \( E(v_1|\omega_1) = v_l + \omega_1^2(v_h - v_l) \).

**Find** the value of the bid \( b_1 \) which maximizes the payoff for player one,

\[
E_1(b_1) = P\left(b_1 > b^*_2(\cdot) \text{ and } b_1 > b^*_3(\cdot)\right) \left(E(v_1|\omega_1) - b_1\right).
\]

5. (25 Points) Consider the game tree given in Figure 1. Player \( P_1 \) owns \( R \) and the information sets \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \). Player \( P_2 \) owns the nodes \( A \) and \( B \).

**a.** What are the subgames of this sequential game?

**b.** In order to have a sequential equilibrium, where must \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) optimize their payoffs?

**c.** In order to have a subgame perfect Nash equilibrium, where must \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) optimize their payoffs?
6. (25 Points) Consider the game tree with perfect information given in Figure 2.
   a. What is the subgame perfect Nash equilibrium in pure strategies? Give the complete strategy profile.
   b. Find a strategy profile that is a Nash equilibrium but not subgame perfect. Explain why your choice (i) is not subgame perfect, and (ii) is a Nash equilibrium.
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7. (25 Points) (Selten’s horse) Consider the game tree with three players given in Figure 3.
   a. For \( x = y = 1 \), show that \( \mu_3(N_2) = \frac{1}{3} \) and \( \mu_3(N_3) = \frac{2}{3} \) is a consistent system of beliefs on \( \mathcal{I} = \{N_2, N_3\} \). Show this very explicitly using the definition of a consistent system of beliefs.
   b. For the system of beliefs \( \mu_3(N_2) = \frac{1}{3} \) and \( \mu_3(N_3) = \frac{2}{3} \), what values of \( z \) are optimal for \( P_3 \) on \( \mathcal{I} \)?
   c. Consider the node \( N_1 \) owned by \( P_2 \). What values of \( z \) allow \( y = 1 \) to be optimal?
   d. Consider the root \( N_0 \) owned by \( P_1 \). Assume that \( y = 1 \). What values of \( z \) allow \( x = 1 \) to be optimal?
   e. For \( x = y = 1 \), which values of \( z \) give a sequential equilibrium?
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8. (25 Points) (Aficionado versus fan) A person, $P_1$, attempting to buy a rare document can be either a mere fan $F$ or an aficionado $A$ (super-fan). Assume that the proportion of aficionados and fans are both $\frac{1}{2}$. The value to an aficionado is $b$ and to a mere fan is $a$, with $0 < a < b$. The potential buyer sends a signal which can be either “I am an aficionado” ($s_H$ or $s'_H$) or “I am only a fan” ($s_L$ or $s'_L$).

The holder of the document, $P_2$, does not know if the person attempting to buy the document is an aficionado or a fan but only the signal sent by the potential buyer, i.e., only if the node is in the information set $I_H = \{A_H, F_H\}$ (for a buyer who claims to be an aficionado) or $I_L = \{A_L, F_L\}$ (for a buyer who claims to be only a fan). He decides that he will either (H) offer the document at the high price of $\frac{b}{2}$, or (L) offer the buyer a 50% chance of buying the document at the low price of $\frac{a}{2}$. The payoffs are given in the game tree in Figure 4.

Assume that $b > 2a > 0$, and that the behavior strategies satisfy $\sigma(s_H) = 1$, $\sigma(s_L) = 0$, $\sigma(s'_H) < 1$, and $\sigma(s'_L) > 0$.

**Figure 4**

**a.** What is a consistent system of beliefs on $I_L$?

**b.** On $I_L$, which is the better choice by $P_2$ between $H'$ and $L'$?

**c.** What can you say about a consistent system of beliefs on $I_H$?

**d.** What is the expected payoff for $P_2$ on $I_H$ for $H$ and $L$? On $I_H$, which is the better choice by $P_2$ between $H$ and $L$?

**e.** At $F$, which gives the higher payoff for $P_1$ between $s'_H$ and $s'_L$?