

## EVOLUTIONARY GAME THEORY

### Example

We assume that the population has two types,

**A:** Aggressive (hawk)

**P:** Passive (dove)

The payoff matrix gives the payoff to the first type of individual playing against the second type is given as follows:

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|cc} & \text{A} & \text{P} \\ \hline \text{A} & \frac{G-C}{2} & G \\ \text{P} & 0 & \frac{G}{2} \end{array} \right),$$

where  $G < C$  so  $G - C < 0$ .

Let  $W(I, Q)$  be the payoff in fitness for an individual of type  $I$  meeting a population of type  $Q$ . If the population has a frequency  $q$  of aggressive type and a frequency  $1 - q$  of passive type, then

$$W(A, q) = \left( \frac{G - C}{2} \right) q + G(1 - q) \quad \text{and}$$

$$W(P, q) = \frac{G}{2}(1 - q).$$

Thus, if a combination of individuals of frequency  $p$  of type  $A$  plays against the population of frequency  $q$  of type  $A$ , then the payoff fitness for the individuals of frequency  $p$  is

$$W(p, q) = \left( \frac{G - C}{2} \right) pq + Gp(1 - q) + \frac{G}{2}(1 - p)(1 - q).$$

A frequency  $\hat{q}$  is called a *Nash equilibrium* if  $W(p, \hat{q}) \leq W(\hat{q}, \hat{q})$  for all  $p$ . Thus, no other frequency of types has a larger payoff playing against the equilibrium frequency. If it is an interior equilibrium, then it must be the case that  $W(p, \hat{q}) = W(\hat{q}, \hat{q})$  for all  $p$ .

For an interior Nash equilibrium  $\hat{q}$  in the example being considered,

$$W(p, \hat{q}) = p \left[ \left( \frac{G - C}{2} \right) \hat{q} + G(1 - \hat{q}) \right] + (1 - p) \frac{G}{2}(1 - \hat{q})$$

must be constant in  $p$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{G - C}{2} \right) \hat{q} + G(1 - \hat{q}) &= \frac{G}{2}(1 - \hat{q}) \\ \frac{G}{2}(1 - \hat{q}) &= \left( \frac{-G + C}{2} \right) \hat{q} \\ G &= C \hat{q} \\ \hat{q} &= \frac{G}{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume a small amount of a new frequency is introduced into the population. The Nash equilibrium  $\hat{q}$  is called an *evolutionarily stable strategy* if the fitness of new population  $p$  played against  $\epsilon p + (1 - \epsilon)\hat{q}$  has less fitness payoff than the Nash equilibrium played against this population,

$$\begin{aligned} W(p, \epsilon p + (1 - \epsilon)\hat{q}) &< W(\hat{q}, \epsilon p + (1 - \epsilon)\hat{q}) \quad \text{or} \\ \epsilon W(p, p) + (1 - \epsilon)W(p, \hat{q}) &< \epsilon W(\hat{q}, p) + (1 - \epsilon)W(\hat{q}, \hat{q}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $W(p, \hat{q}) = W(\hat{q}, \hat{q})$ , we need

$$W(p, p) < W(\hat{q}, p)$$

for all  $p \neq \hat{q}$ .

For the example being considered,  $\hat{q} = G/C$  and  $1 - \hat{q} = (C - G)/C$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} W(\hat{q}, p) - W(p, p) &= \frac{G - C}{2} \left(\frac{G}{C}\right) p + G \left(\frac{G}{C}\right) (1 - p) + \frac{G}{2} \left(\frac{C - G}{C}\right) (1 - p) \\ &\quad - \left[ \left(\frac{G - C}{2}\right) p^2 + Gp(1 - p) + \left(\frac{G}{2}\right) (1 - p)^2 \right] \\ &= p^2 \left[ -\left(\frac{G - C}{2}\right) + G - \frac{G}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + p \left[ \left(\frac{G - C}{2}\right) \left(\frac{G}{C}\right) - \frac{G^2}{C} - \left(\frac{G}{2}\right) \left(\frac{C - G}{C}\right) - G + G \right] \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{G^2}{C} + \left(\frac{G}{2}\right) \left(\frac{C - G}{C}\right) - \frac{G}{2} \right] \\ &= p^2 \left(\frac{C}{2}\right) - pG + \frac{G^2}{2C} \\ &= \frac{1}{2C} (Cp - G)^2 \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $p \neq G/C = \hat{q}$ . This checks that  $\hat{q}$  satisfies the conditions to be an evolutionarily stable strategy.

The general definitions of a Nash equilibrium and evolutionarily stable strategy are the following. A frequency  $\hat{q}$  is called a *Nash equilibrium* if

$$W(p, \hat{q}) \leq W(\hat{q}, \hat{q}) \quad \text{for all } p.$$

A frequency  $\hat{q}$  is called an *evolutionarily stable strategy* if it is a Nash equilibrium and

$$W(p, p) < W(\hat{q}, p) \quad \text{for all } p \neq \hat{q} \text{ with } W(p, \hat{q}) = W(\hat{q}, \hat{q}).$$