

Math 291-1: Midterm 1 Solutions

Northwestern University, Fall 2017

1. Determine whether each of the following statements is true or false. If it is true, explain why; if it is false, give a counterexample. (A counterexample is a specific example in which the given claim is indeed false.)

(a) If A and B are 2×2 matrices such that $\text{rref}(A) = \text{rref}(B)$ and $A \begin{bmatrix} \pi \\ e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then $B \begin{bmatrix} 2\pi \\ 2e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

(b) If $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and \mathbf{w} is a complex linear combination of $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2$, then \mathbf{w} is also a real linear combination of $\mathbf{z}_1, \mathbf{z}_2$. (Recall that the distinction between complex and real linear combinations comes in the types of scalars we allow as coefficients.)

Solution. (a) This is true. Since A and B have the same reduced row-echelon form, the equations $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ have the same solutions. Thus since $A \begin{bmatrix} \pi \\ e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, it is also true that $B \begin{bmatrix} \pi \\ e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so

$$B \begin{bmatrix} 2\pi \\ 2e \end{bmatrix} = 2B \begin{bmatrix} \pi \\ e \end{bmatrix} = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

as claimed.

(b) This is false. For an explicit counterexample, take

$$\mathbf{z}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{z}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and } \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\mathbf{w} = i\mathbf{z}_1 + i\mathbf{z}_2$, so \mathbf{w} is a complex linear combination of \mathbf{z}_1 and \mathbf{z}_2 , but \mathbf{w} is not a real linear combination of \mathbf{z}_1 and \mathbf{z}_2 since for real a, b ,

$$a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

can never equal \mathbf{w} . □

2. Suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written as a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4$. Show that \mathbf{u} can also be written as a linear combination of

$$\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4.$$

Proof. Note: This is meant to be similar-in-spirit to the problem on Quiz 2.

Since \mathbf{u} is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4$, there exist $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathbf{u} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3 + c_4\mathbf{v}_4.$$

In order to show that \mathbf{u} is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4$, we must show there exist $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathbf{u} = a_1(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3) + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + a_3(\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_4) + a_4(\mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4).$$

Define a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 to be:

$$a_1 = c_1, \quad a_2 = c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4, \quad a_3 = -c_1 - c_3 - c_4, \quad a_4 = c_1 + c_3.$$

Then

$$a_1(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3) + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + a_3(\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_4) + a_4(\mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= c_1(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3) + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4)\mathbf{v}_2 + (-c_1 - c_3 - c_4)(\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_4) + (c_1 + c_3)(\mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4) \\
&= c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + (c_1 + c_2 + c_3 + c_4 - c_1 - c_3 - c_4)\mathbf{v}_2 + (-c_1 + c_1 + c_3)\mathbf{v}_3 + (-c_1 - c_3 + c_4 + c_1 + c_3)\mathbf{v}_4 \\
&= c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3 + c_4\mathbf{v}_4 \\
&= \mathbf{u}
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. Hence given $\mathbf{u} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3 + c_4\mathbf{v}_4$, \mathbf{u} is also expressible as a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_4, \mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4$ using the coefficients a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 defined above.

Note that the values for a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 come from rewriting

$$a_1(\mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_3) + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + a_3(\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_4) + a_4(\mathbf{v}_3 - \mathbf{v}_4)$$

as

$$a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + (a_2 + a_3)\mathbf{v}_2 + (-a_1 + a_4)\mathbf{v}_3 + (-a_3 - a_4)\mathbf{v}_4$$

and comparing these coefficients to those in $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3 + c_4\mathbf{v}_4$; in order to satisfy needed requirements, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 should satisfy

$$a_1 = c_1, \quad a_2 + a_3 = c_2, \quad -a_1 + a_4 = c_3, \quad -a_3 - a_4 = c_4,$$

and using this to express a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 in terms of c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 gives the values used above. \square

3. If $n \geq 2$, show that for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$a(\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_n) = a\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a\mathbf{v}_n.$$

The only distributive property you can take for granted is that $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. First suppose

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

are two vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) &= a\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = a\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ x_2 + y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a(x_1 + x_2) \\ a(y_1 + y_2) \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} ax_1 + ax_2 \\ ay_1 + ay_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax_1 \\ ay_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} ax_2 + ay_2 \end{bmatrix} = a\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} + a\begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = a\mathbf{v}_1 + a\mathbf{v}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the claimed equality holds for two vectors.

Suppose now that for some $n \geq 2$ the claimed equality holds for any n vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 , and take any $n + 1$ vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Then

$$a(\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{n+1}) = a([\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_n] + \mathbf{v}_{n+1}) = a(\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_n) + a\mathbf{v}_{n+1}$$

where we use the base case of two vectors. By the induction hypothesis, $a(\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_n) = a\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a\mathbf{v}_n$, so

$$a(\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_{n+1}) = a(\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{v}_n) + a\mathbf{v}_{n+1} = a\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + a\mathbf{v}_n + a\mathbf{v}_{n+1}.$$

Hence we conclude by induction that the claimed equality holds for any $n \geq 2$. \square

4. Let A be a 4×3 matrix, and let \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} be two vectors in \mathbb{R}^4 . We are told that the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a unique solution. What can you say about the number of solutions of the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$? In other words, is it possible for $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$ to have no solutions? exactly one solution? infinitely many solutions?

Solution. Note: This was part of Problem 4 on Homework 3, whose solution we reproduce here.

The reduced row-echelon form of $[A \mid \mathbf{b}]$ must look like

$$[A \mid \mathbf{b}] \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & ? \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & ? \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & ? \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

since if there were any free variables the system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ would have infinitely many solutions. Hence the reduced row-echelon form of $[A \mid \mathbf{c}]$ looks like

$$[A \mid \mathbf{c}] \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & ? \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & ? \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & ? \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & ? \end{bmatrix},$$

where now we are no longer guaranteed that the lower right entry must be zero—this depends on what \mathbf{c} was to begin with. Hence $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}$ will have either no solutions or exactly one, depending on what this lower right entry in the reduced form is. \square

5. Consider the system of linear equations with augmented matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & -1 & 3 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 & -3 & 1 & -2 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 & -8 & 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that there exist three linearly independent vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^5$ with the property that any solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^5$ of this system can be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3$$

for some $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$. (Be sure to explain why the vectors you find are indeed linearly independent!)

Proof. The given augmented matrix describes the linear system with matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 & -3 & 1 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 & -8 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 & -3 & 1 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 & -8 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

is true, the vector

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is one particular solution to the given equation. By the result we derived describing the solutions of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ in terms of those of $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, we know that any solution \mathbf{x} of the given equation can thus be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{y}, \text{ where } \mathbf{y} \text{ satisfies } A\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}.$$

To solve the equation $A\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ we row reduce:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 & -3 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 & -8 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Considering x_2, x_4 , and x_5 to be free variables, we get that any solution \mathbf{y} is of the form

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_2 - 3x_4 + x_5 \\ x_2 \\ -2x_4 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

so any solution can be written as a linear combination of

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

These are linearly independent since if $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + a_3\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}$, we must have

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2a_1 - 3a_2 + a_3 \\ a_1 \\ -2a_2 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

so $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. Thus the $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3$ are three linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^5 with the property that any solution \mathbf{x} of the original linear system can be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + c_2\mathbf{v}_2 + c_3\mathbf{v}_3$$

for some $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ as required. □