## Math 300: Midterm 2 Solutions Northwestern University, Spring 2017

1. Give an example of each of the following with brief justification.
(a) A function $f$ and sets $X, Y$ such that $f(X \cap Y) \neq f(X) \cap f(Y)$.
(b) A surjective function $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ which is not invertible.

Solution. (a) Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $f(x)=x^{2}, X=[-1,0]$, and $Y=[0,1]$. Then $X \cap Y=\{0\}$ so $f(X \cap Y)=\{0\}$, but $f(X)=[0,1]=f(Y)$, so $f(X) \cap f(Y)=[0,1]$.
(b) The function $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined by $f(x)=|x|+1$ is surjective, since for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $f(n-1)=n$, but not injective since $f(1)=f(-1)$. Hence $f$ is not bijective, so it is not invertible.
2. Suppose $x_{1}>1$ and define the numbers $x_{n}$ recursively by

$$
x_{n+1}=\frac{1+x_{n}}{2} \text { for } n \geq 1 \text {. }
$$

Show that $x_{n}>1$ and $x_{n} \geq x_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $n$. First,

$$
x_{1}>1 \text { and } x_{2}=\frac{1+x_{1}}{2}<\frac{x_{1}+x_{1}}{2}=x_{1},
$$

so the claimed inequalities hold in the $n=1$ base case. Now suppose that $x_{n}>1$ and $x_{n} \geq x_{n+1}$ for some $n$. Then

$$
x_{n+1}=\frac{1+x_{n}}{2}>\frac{1+1}{2}=1
$$

and

$$
x_{n+2}=\frac{1+x_{n+1}}{2} \leq \frac{1+x_{n}}{2}=x_{n+1} .
$$

Hence $x_{n}>1$ and $x_{n} \geq x_{n+1}$ implies $x_{n+1}>1$ and $x_{n+1} \geq x_{n+2}$, so by induction we conclude that $x_{n}>1$ and $x_{n} \geq x_{n+1}$ hold for all $n$.
3. Let $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the function defined by

$$
f(n)= \begin{cases}n+2 & \text { if } n \text { is even } \\ 2 n & \text { if } n \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Show that the image under $f$ of the set of odd integers is the same as the image of the set of multiples of 4.

Proof. Let $O$ denote the set of odd integers and $M$ the set of multiples of 4 . Let $x \in f(O)$. Then there exists $2 k+1 \in O$ such that $f(2 k+1)=x$, which means that $f(2 k+1)=2(2 k+1)=4 k+2=x$. But then

$$
f(4 k)=4 k+2=x,
$$

so there exists $4 k \in M$ such that $f(4 k)=x$. Hence $x \in f(M)$, so $f(O) \subseteq f(M)$.
Now let $x \in f(M)$. Then there exists $4 k \in M$ such that $f(4 k)=x$, which means that $f(4 k)=4 k+2=x$. But then

$$
f(2 k+1)=2(2 k+1)=4 k+2=x
$$

so $x \in f(O)$ since $2 k+1$ is an element of $O$ mapping to $x$. Thus $f(M) \subseteq f(O)$, so $f(O)=f(M)$ as claimed.
4. Suppose $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a function. Show that $f^{-1}(f(X))=X$ for all $X \subseteq A$ if and only if $f$ is injective.

Proof. Suppose $f^{-1}(f(X))=X$ for all $X \subseteq A$, and suppose $a, a^{\prime} \in A$ satisfy $f(a)=f\left(a^{\prime}\right)$. Then $a^{\prime} \in f^{-1}(f(\{a\}))$ since $f\left(a^{\prime}\right) \in f(\{a\})=\{f(a)\}$. By our assumption $f^{-1}(f(\{a\}))=\{a\}$, so $a^{\prime} \in\{a\}$. Hence we must have $a^{\prime}=a$, so $f$ is injective.

Conversely suppose $f$ is injective and let $X \subseteq A$. If $x \in X$, then $f(x) \in f(X)$ by definition of image, so $x \in f^{-1}(f(X))$ by definition of preimage. Thus $X \subseteq f^{-1}(f(X))$. Now suppose $y \in f^{-1}(f(X))$. Then $f(y) \in f(X)$, so there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x)=f(y)$. Since $f$ is injective, we get $x=y$, so $y \in X$ as well. Thus $f^{-1}(f(X)) \subseteq X$, so $f^{-1}(f(X))=X$ as claimed.
5. Define a relation $\sim$ on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$
(m, n) \sim(a, b) \text { if } m+b=n+a .
$$

Show that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and find a bijection between the set of equivalence classes and $\mathbb{Z}$. Hint: How can you uniquely characterize equivalence classes using integers? As a start, determine which elements of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ are in the equivalence class of $(1,1)$, and which are in the equivalence class of $(1,2)$.

Solution. For any $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}, m+n=n+m$ so $(m, n) \sim(m, n)$ and hence $\sim$ is reflexive. If ( $m, n$ ) $\sim(a, b)$, then $m+b=n+a$, so $a+n=b+m$ as well. Hence $(a, b) \sim(m, n)$, so $\sim$ is symmetric. Finally, suppose $(m, n) \sim(a, b)$ and $(a, b) \sim(p, q)$. Then $m+b=n+a$ and $a+q=b+p$, so:

$$
m+q=(n+a-b)+(b+p-a)=n+p .
$$

Hence $(m, n) \sim(p, q)$, so $\sim$ is transitive and is thus an equivalence relation.
Fix $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Then $(a, b) \sim(m, n)$ when $a+n=b+m$, or equivalently when $m-n=a-b$. Thus $[(m, n)]$ consists of all pairs of positive integers whose difference (first coordinate minus second) gives the same integer as $(m, n)$. The idea is that an equivalence class is then fully characterized by this integer difference, so the function from the set of equivalence classes to $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$
[(m, n)] \mapsto m-n
$$

should be a bijection. Note first that this function is well-defined since $[(a, b)]=[(m, n)]$ give the same output $a-b=m-n$. If $[(m, n)]$ and $[(a, b)]$ both give the same output $m-n=a-b$, then $m+b=n+a$ so $(m, n) \sim(a, b)$ and hence $[(m, n)]=[(a, b)]$, showing that this function is injective. In addition, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, picking positive integers $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m-n=x$ gives a class [ $(m, n)]$ which is sent to $x$, so this function is surjective as required.

The point of this problem is that this gives a way to "construct" the set of integers from the set of natural numbers. We define an "integer" to be an equivalence class of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ under this equivalence relation, where we interpret $[(m, n)]$ as thus "representing" the integer $m-n$.

